

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,234	01/29/2007	Lindsey Jane Hudson	1300-1-014PCT/US	7475
23565 7590 04/08/25008 KLAUBER & JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			GUSSOW, ANNE	
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/569 234 HUDSON, LINDSEY JANE Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANNE M. GUSSOW 1643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 7-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1643

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

To have a general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1, the inventions need to be linked by a special technical feature. The special technical feature recited in claim 26 is a kit comprising a capture reagent specific for a MAL2 polypeptide. In view of this de Marco, et al. (Journal of Cell Biology, 2002. as cited on the IDS) in view of Kennedy (US PG PUB 2001/0016651, published August 23, 2001) reads on the claim. De Marco, et al. teach a monoclonal antibody that binds to MAL2 (page 43 1st column). De Marco, et al. do not teach the antibody in a kit. This deficiency is made up for in the teachings of Kennedy. Kennedy teaches that reagents such as antibodies can be supplied in a kit for detecting the presence of an expression product in a biological sample. Kennedy also teaches the kit can also contain buffers and instructions (page 6 paragraph 76). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the antibody of de Marco, et al. in a kit as taught by Kennedy for diagnostic use. Therefore the technical feature recited in claim 26 is not special. Accordingly the groups are not so linked at to form a single general concept under rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Art Unit: 1643

Group I, claim(s) 7-9 and 12, drawn to a method for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of carcinoma by administering an agent which interacts with or modulates the expression or activity of a MAL2 polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 10 and 11, drawn to a method for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of carcinoma by administering a MAL2 polypeptide.

Group III, claim(s) 13, 14, 24, and 25, drawn to a method of screening for anticarcinoma agents that interact with a MAL2 polypeptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 15-17, drawn to a method of screening for anti-carcinoma agents that modulate expression or activity of a MAL2 polypeptide.

Group V, claim(s) 18, drawn to an agent.

Group VI, claim(s) 19-23, drawn to a method of diagnosing carcinoma by detecting the expression of a MAL2 polypeptide.

Group VII, claim(s) 26, drawn to a kit comprising a capture reagent specific for a MAL2 polypeptide.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as set forth above in view of the teachings of de Marco and Kennedy the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because the technical feature of claim 26 is not special.

Groups VII, I and VI are related by product and method of using. Their shared technical feature is an agent that interacts with MAL2 polypeptide, but de Marco, et al. teach an antibody that specifically binds to a MAL2 polypeptide. Group II requires a MAL2 polypeptide, which is not required for Groups I, or III-VII. Groups III and VI

Art Unit: 1643

require screening for an agent which is not required for Groups I, II, or V-VII. Group VI requires diagnosing carcinoma which is not required for Groups I-V, VI, or VII.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Art Unit: 1643

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNE M. GUSSOW whose telephone number is (571)272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. Application/Control Number: 10/569,234 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne M. Gussow

April 2, 2008

/Larry R. Helms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1643